arXiv:0705.1763v4 [math.SP] 7 Aug 2008

# LANDAU AUTOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS ON $\mathbb{C}^n$ OF MAGNITUDE $\nu$

A. GHANMI & A. INTISSAR

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, P.O. Box 1014,*
*Mohammed V University, Agdal, 10000 Rabat - Morocco*

Abstract. We investigate the spectral theory of the invariant Landau Hamiltonian

$$\mathbb{L}^\nu = -\frac{1}{2}\left\{4\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_j} + 2\nu\sum_{j=1}^{n}(z_j\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - \bar{z}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j}) - \nu^2|z|^2\right\}$$

acting on the space $\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ of $(\Gamma,\chi)$-automotphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$, constituted of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ functions satisfying the functional equation

$$f(z+\gamma) = \chi(\gamma)e^{i\nu\Im m\langle z,\gamma\rangle}f(z); \quad z\in\mathbb{C}^n,\ \gamma\in\Gamma,$$

for given real number $\nu > 0$, lattice $\Gamma$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and a map $\chi : \Gamma \to U(1)$ such that the triplet $(\nu,\Gamma,\chi)$ satisfies a Riemann-Dirac quantization type condition. More precisely, we show that the eigenspace $\mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\lambda) = \left\{f \in \mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi};\ \mathbb{L}^\nu f = \nu(2\lambda+n)f\right\}$; $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$, is nontrivial if and only if $\lambda = l = 0,1,2,\cdots$. In such case, $\mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(l)$ is a finite dimensional vector space whose the dimension is given explicitly by

$$\dim \mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(l) = \left(\begin{array}{c} n+l-1 \\ l \end{array}\right)(\nu/\pi)^n \mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma).$$

Furthermore, we show that the eigenspace $\mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(0)$ associated with the lowest Landau level of $\mathbb{L}^\nu$ is isomorphic to the space, $\mathcal{O}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying

$$g(z+\gamma) = \chi(\gamma)e^{\frac{\nu}{2}|\gamma|^2+\nu\langle z,\gamma\rangle}g(z), \tag{$*$}$$

that we can realize also as the null space of the differential operator

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\frac{-\partial^2}{\partial z_j\partial\bar{z}_j} + \nu\bar{z}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}_j})$$

acting on $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying $(*)$.

## 1. Notation and statement of main result

Let $\mathbb{C}^n$ be the $n$-complex space endowed with its Hermitian form $\langle z,w\rangle = z_1\bar{w}_1 + \cdots + z_n\bar{w}_n$ and let $\omega(z,w) = \Im m\langle z,w\rangle$ be the associated symplectic form. For given fixed $\nu > 0$, we denote by $\mathbb{L}^\nu$ the Landau Hamiltonian (called also twisted Laplacian [17, 13]). It goes back to Landau and describes (for $n = 1$) a nonrelativistic quantum particle moving on the $(x,y)$-plane under the action of an external constant magnetic field of magnitude $\nu$. The operator $\mathbb{L}^\nu$ is given explicitly in the complex coordinates $(z_1,z_2,\cdots,z_n) = z$ by

$$\mathbb{L}^\nu = -\frac{1}{2}\left\{4\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_j} + 2\nu\sum_{j=1}^{n}(z_j\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - \bar{z}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j}) - \nu^2|z|^2\right\} \tag{1.1}$$

and is linked [17, 18] to the sub-Laplacian

$$\mathcal{L} = 4\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j\partial\bar{z}_j} + 2i\sum_{j=1}^{n}\Big(z_j\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - \bar{z}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}_j}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + |z|^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2},$$

---

*Date*: August 7, 2008.

A. G. acknowledges the financial support of the *Arab Regional Fellows Program* within a Research Fellow for the full academic year 2006-2007.

*Key-Words:* Landau Hamiltonian, Landau automotphic functions, Theta functions, RDQ condition, Poincaré series, Eigenprojector kernels, Dimension formulas, Confluent hypergeometric functions.

on the Heisenberg group $H_{eis}^{2n+1} = \mathbb{C}_z^n \times \mathbb{R}_t$ through the Fourier transform in $t$. Such operator plays an important role in many different contexts such as Feynman path integral, oscillatory stochastic integral and theory of lattices electrons in uniform magnetic field (see Bellissard [3] and references therein). For what is the spectral properties, it is known that $\mathbb{L}^\nu$ is a selfadjoint elliptic differential operator on $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n; dm)$, the usual Hilbert space of square integrable functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure $dm$. Its spectrum is purely discrete and given by the eigenvalues (Landau levels)

$$\nu(2l+n), \qquad l = 0, 1, 2, \cdots , \tag{1.2}$$

which occur with infinite multiplicities [2, 12, 16]. Additonal spectral properties relevant for our purpose are recalled in Section 2.

In this paper, we consider the action of the operator $\mathbb{L}^\nu$ on some appropriate functional spaces. Let $\Gamma$ be a full rank lattice of $\mathbb{C}^n$ (i.e., a discrete subgroup of rank $2n$ of the additive group $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n$) so that $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ is compact, and $\chi$ be a given map

$$\chi : \Gamma \longrightarrow U(1) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C};\ |\lambda| = 1\}.$$

To the given data $(\nu, \Gamma, \chi)$, we then associate the space $\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ functions $f$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ that satisfy the functional equation

$$f(z+\gamma) = \chi(\gamma) e^{i\nu\omega(z,\gamma)} f(z) \tag{1.3}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, as well as the space $\mathcal{O}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of holomorphic functions $g$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$, $g \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, satisfying the following functional equation

$$g(z+\gamma) = \chi(\gamma) e^{\frac{\nu}{2}|\gamma|^2 + \nu\langle z,\gamma\rangle} g(z) \tag{1.4}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then, it will be shown that the space $\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ (or also $\mathcal{O}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$) is a nonzero complex vector space if and only if the triplet $(\nu, \Gamma, \chi)$ satisfies the following $(RDQ)$ condition

$$\chi(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) = \chi(\gamma_1)\chi(\gamma_2) e^{i\nu\omega(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)} \tag{$RDQ$}$$

for every $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ (see Proposition 3.1). In this case and owing to the fact that the Landau Hamiltonian $\mathbb{L}^\nu$ leaves invariant the space $\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ (see Proposition 2.1), we can consider its restriction to $\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ that we shall denote by $\mathbb{L}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ and therefore consider the associated eigenvalue problem $\mathbb{L}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi} f = \nu(2\lambda + n) f$ in $\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence by $\mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\lambda)$ let denote the corresponding eigenspace, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\lambda) = \big\{ f \in \mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi};\quad \mathbb{L}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi} f = \nu(2\lambda+n) f \big\}. \tag{1.5}$$

and let make for instance the following

**Definition 1.1.** *Assume $(RDQ)$ to be satisfied by the triplet $(\nu, \Gamma, \chi)$.*

i) *We call $\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ the space of $(\Gamma, \chi)$-automorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$ of magnitude $\nu$.*

ii) *We call $\mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\lambda)$ the space of Landau $(\Gamma, \chi)$-automorphic functions of magnitude $\nu$ at the level $\lambda$. The particular one*

$$\mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(0) := \big\{ f \in \mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi};\quad \mathbb{L}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi} f = n\nu f \big\}. \tag{1.6}$$

*corresponding to $\lambda = 0$ is called the "fundamental space of $(\Gamma, \chi)$-ground states".*

iii) *We call $\mathcal{O}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ the space of holomorphic $(\Gamma, \chi)$-automorphic functions of magnitude $\nu$ or also $(\Gamma, \chi)$-theta functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$.*

The objective of the present paper is to investigate the spectral analysis of the involved eigenspaces $\mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\lambda)$. Namely, the main result to which is aimed this paper is the following

**Main Theorem.** *Assume the $(RDQ)$ condition to be satisfied by the triplet $(\nu, \Gamma, \chi)$ and let $\mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{O}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the functional spaces defined above. Then*

i) *The eigenspace $\mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\lambda)$ is a nonzero vector space if and only if $\lambda$ is a positive integer $\lambda = l = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.*

ii) *For every fixed positive integer* $l = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ *, the space*

$$\mathcal{E}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(l) = \big\{f;\, f \in \mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi},\ \mathbb{L}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi} f = \nu(2l+n)f\big\}$$

*is a finite dimensional vector space whose the dimension is given explicitly by the formula*

$$\dim \mathcal{E}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(l) = \frac{\Gamma(n+l)}{\Gamma(n)l!}(\nu/\pi)^n vol(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma), \tag{1.7}$$

*where* $\Gamma(x)$ *is the usual gamma function and* $vol(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma)$ *denotes the Lebesgue volume of a fundamental domain of the lattice* $\Gamma$.

iii) *The fundamental space of* $(\Gamma,\chi)$*-ground states,* $\mathcal{E}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(0)$*, is isomorphic to the space* $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ *with* $f \longmapsto g = e^{\frac{\nu}{2}|z|^2} f$ *from* $\mathcal{E}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(0)$ *onto* $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ *as isomorphism map.*

For the establishment of our main result, we have make use of the explicit description of the spectral analysis of the operator $\mathbb{L}^{\nu}$. The computation of the dimension of the eigenspaces $\mathcal{E}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(l)$ is done à la Selberg [15, 8]. In fact, we determinate the traces of integral operators associated with $(\Gamma,\chi)$-automorphic kernel functions obtained by averaging reproducing kernels of the free $L^2$-eigenspaces of $\mathbb{L}^{\nu}$.

**Remark 1.2.**

a) *Owing to Proposition 2.1, the statement i) in the main theorem shows that the operator* $\mathbb{L}^{\nu}$ *and its restriction* $\mathbb{L}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi} := \mathbb{L}^{\nu}|_{\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}}$ *have the same spectrum (i.e., stability of the spectrum under perturbation by the lattice* $\Gamma$*). However, the degeneracy of the eigenvalues becomes finite.*

b) *Note that the dimension of the space of Landau* $(\Gamma,\chi)$*-automorphic functions* $\mathcal{E}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(l)$ *is independent of the multiplier* $\chi$*. It can also be noted that all the eigenspaces have the same dimension when* $n = 1$*. While for* $n \geq 2$ *the dimension of the spaces* $\mathcal{E}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(l)$ *growths polynomially in* $l$*. Namely, we have*

$$\dim \mathcal{E}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(l) \sim C l^{n-1} \quad \textit{as } l \to +\infty$$

*for certain constant* $C > 0$.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we collect and review some needed background on the spectral theory of the Landau Hamiltonian $\mathbb{L}^{\nu}$ acting on the free Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n; dm)$. Section 3 is devoted to give some basic properties of the spaces $\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Mainely, we prove the equivalence of the nontriviality of such spaces to the $(RDQ)$ condition and moreover we explicit the expression of the reproducing kernel of $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ as well as its dimension. In Section 4, we investigate some general properties of a class of $(\Gamma,\chi)$-automorphic kernel functions on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ of magnitude $\nu$ that are essential for our purpose. In Section 5, we present the proof of our main result. The latest section deals with some concluding remarks.

We conclude this introduction by providing an example of triplet $(\nu,\Gamma,\chi)$ satisfying the $(RDQ)$ condition. For $\Gamma$ being a lattice in $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$, we denote by $S_{\Gamma}$ its cell area and we set $\nu_{\Gamma} = \pi/S_{\Gamma}$. Let $\chi_{\Gamma}$ be the Weierstrass pseudo-character defined on $\Gamma$ by $\chi_{\Gamma}(\gamma) = +1$ if $\gamma/2 \in \Gamma$ and $\chi_{\Gamma}(\gamma) = -1$ otherwise [9, page 103]. Then, it can be shown that $(\nu_{\Gamma},\Gamma,\chi_{\Gamma})$ satisfies $(RDQ)$ and that we have $\dim \mathcal{E}^{\nu_{\Gamma}}_{\Gamma,\chi_{\Gamma}}(l) = 1$ for every $l = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. Moreover, one can build generator of each $\mathcal{E}^{\nu_{\Gamma}}_{\Gamma,\chi_{\Gamma}}(l)$ involving basically the modified Weierstrass sigma function.

## 2. Background on spectral theory of the operator $\mathbb{L}^{\nu}$

We begin with an invariance property of the Landau Hamiltonian $\mathbb{L}^{\nu}$. For this, let $G = U(n) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^n$ be the solvable semidirect product of the unitary group $U(n)$ with the additive group $(\mathbb{C}^n,+)$. Such group is also realized as

$$G=\left\{g=\begin{pmatrix} a & b\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}; \qquad a\in U(n),\ b\in\mathbb{C}^n\right\}.$$

and acts transitively on $\mathbb{C}^n$ by the holomorphic mappings $z\longmapsto g.z:=az+b$, which can be extended to $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n;dm)$ by considering

$$[T^\nu_g f](z):=j_\nu(g,z)f(g.z), \tag{2.1}$$

where the involved factor $j_\nu(g,z)$ is given by

$$j_\nu(g,z)=e^{i\nu\omega(z,g^{-1}.0)}. \tag{2.2}$$

We then assert

**Proposition 2.1.**

i) *For every $g_1,g_2\in G$, we have the chain rule*

$$j_\nu(g_1g_2,z)=e^{i\nu\omega(g_1^{-1}.0,g_2\cdot 0)}j_\nu(g_1,g_2.z)j_\nu(g_2,z). \tag{2.3}$$

ii) *The transformation $T^\nu$ defines a projective representation of the group $G$ on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n;dm)$. That is*
   a) *It is a unitary transformation on $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n;dm)$ for every $g\in G$.*
   b) *For all $g_1,g_2\in G$, we have $T^\nu_{g_1g_2}=e^{i\phi_\nu(g_1,g_2)}T^\nu_{g_2}\circ T^\nu_{g_1}$, where the phase factor is given here by $\phi_\nu(g_1,g_2)=\nu\omega(g_1^{-1}.0,g_2\cdot 0)$.*
   c) *The map $g\mapsto T^\nu_g f$ from $G$ into $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n;dm)$ is a continuous map for every fixed $f\in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n;dm)$.*

iii) *The Landau Hamiltonian $\mathbb{L}^\nu$ is $T^\nu$-invariant in the sense that for every $g\in G$ we have $T^\nu_g\mathbb{L}^\nu=\mathbb{L}^\nu T^\nu_g$.*

The proof of such proposition can be handled by straightforward computation. For iii), one can also refer to [5] for an intrinsic different approach.

Additional needed spectral properties of $\mathbb{L}^\nu$ are summarized in the following

**Proposition 2.2.**

i) *For fixed $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$, the space of radial functions $f$ solution of $\mathbb{L}^\nu f=\nu(2\lambda+n)f$ is one dimensional, and it is generated by*

$$\varphi_\lambda(z)=e^{-\frac{\nu}{2}|z|^2}{}_1F_1(-\lambda;n;\nu|z|^2), \tag{2.4}$$

*where ${}_1F_1(a;c;x)=1+\frac{a}{c}\frac{x}{1!}+\frac{a(a+1)}{c(c+1)}\frac{x^2}{2!}+\cdots$ is the usual confluent hypergeometric function.*

ii) *The function $\varphi_\lambda$ given by (2.4) is bounded if and only if $\lambda$ is a positive integer $l$; $l=0,1,2,\cdots$.*

iii) *The spectrum of $\mathbb{L}^\nu$ acting on $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n;dm)$ is discrete and given by the so-called Landau levels $\nu(2l+n)$; $l=0,1,2,\cdots$, where each eigenvalue occurs with infinite multiplicity. Furthermore, we have the following orthogonal decomposition in Hilbertian subspaces*

$$L^2(\mathbb{C}^n;dm)=\bigoplus_{l=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{A}^{2,\nu}_l(\mathbb{C}^n) \tag{2.5}$$

*where $\mathcal{A}^{2,\nu}_l(\mathbb{C}^n)=\{f;\ f\in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n;dm)\ \text{ and }\ \mathbb{L}^\nu f=\nu(2l+n)f\}$.*

iv) *The $L^2$-eigenprojector kernel of the $L^2$-eigenspace $\mathcal{A}^{2,\nu}_l(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is given explicitly by the following closed formula*

$$\mathcal{K}^\nu_l(z,w)=e^{i\nu\omega(z,w)}Q^\nu_l(|z-w|), \tag{2.6}$$

*where we have set*

$$Q_l^\nu(|z-w|) = \frac{\Gamma(n+l)}{\Gamma(n)l!}(\nu/\pi)^n e^{-\frac{\nu}{2}|z-w|^2} {}_1F_1(-l;n;\nu|z-w|^2),$$

*and then satisfies the following "G-invariance property"*

$$\mathcal{K}_l^\nu(z,w) = e^{i\nu\omega(z,g^{-1}.0)}\mathcal{K}_l^\nu(g.z,g.w)e^{-i\nu\omega(w,g^{-1}.0)} \tag{2.7}$$

*for every $g \in G$ and $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$.*

*Proof.* To check the statement i), we write $\mathbb{L}^\nu$ in polar coordinates $z = r\theta$; $r \geq 0$ and $\theta$ in the $(2n-1)$-dimensional unit sphere $S^{2n-1}$,

$$-2\mathbb{L}^\nu = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2n-1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2}\Delta_{S^{2n-1}} + 2\nu(L_\theta - \overline{L_\theta}) - \nu^2 r^2,$$

where $\Delta_{S^{2n-1}}$ denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $S^{2n-1}$ and $L_\theta$ is the tangential component of the complex Euler operator $E = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = \frac{r}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + L_\theta$. So that the differential equation $\mathbb{L}^\nu f = \nu(2\lambda + n)f$, for radial solutions $\phi(r)$, reduces to the following

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{dr^2} + \frac{2n-1}{r}\frac{d\phi}{dr} - \nu^2 r^2 \phi + 2\nu(2\lambda + n)\phi = 0.$$

Next, making use of the appropriate change of function $\phi(r) = e^{-x/2}y(x)$ with $x = \nu r^2$, we see that the previous equation leads to the following ordinary differential equation [11, page 193]

$$xy^{''} + (n-x)y^{'} + \lambda y = 0$$

whose regular solution at $x = 0$ is the confluent hypergeometric function ${}_1F_1(-\lambda; n; x)$.

The assertion ii) is clear for $\lambda = 0$. For $\lambda \neq 0$, we use the asymptotic behavior of the confluent hypergeometric function given by [11, page 332]

$${}_1F_1(a;c;x) = \Gamma(c)\left\{\frac{(-x)^{-a}}{\Gamma(c-a)} + \frac{e^x x^{a-c}}{\Gamma(a)}\right\}\Big(1 + O(\frac{1}{x})\Big)$$

as $x \longrightarrow +\infty$. Hence for $a = -\lambda$, $c = n$ and $x = \nu|z|$ in above, we obtain

$$\lim_{|z|\to+\infty} e^{-\frac{\nu}{2}|z|^2} {}_1F_1(-\lambda; n; \nu|z|^2) = \lim_{|z|\to+\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(-\lambda)}(\nu|z|^2)^{-(n+\lambda)}e^{\nu|z|^2}.$$

From which, we conclude that $\varphi_\lambda$ is bounded if and only if $\lambda = 1, 2, \cdots$.

The result in iii) is well known and the reader can refer for example to [2]. While the proof of iv) is contained in [5] and can be handled in a similar way as in [1]. □

In the next section, we study some basic properties of the space of $(\Gamma, \chi)$-automorphic functions that the Landau Hamiltonian $\mathbb{L}^\nu$ will act on.

## 3. Basic properties of the space $\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ and associated spaces

Recall that for given data $\nu > 0$, $\Gamma$ a lattice of $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n$ of rank $2n$ and $\chi$ a mapping from $\Gamma$ to the unit circle $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C};\ |\lambda| = 1\} = U(1)$, we have associated the functional space

$$\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi} = \Big\{ f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n); \quad f(z+\gamma) = \chi(\gamma)e^{i\nu\omega(z,\gamma)}f(z)\Big\}. \tag{3.1}$$

The following proposition gives sufficient and necessary condition on the triplet $(\nu, \Gamma, \chi)$ in order that $\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ is a nonzero space. Namely, we have

**Proposition 3.1.** *The complex vector space $\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ is a nonzero space if and only if the triplet $(\nu, \Gamma, \chi)$ satisfies the following condition*

$$\chi(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) = \chi(\gamma_1)\chi(\gamma_2)e^{i\nu\omega(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)} \tag{RDQ}$$

*for every $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$. In this case $\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ is an infinite dimensional complex vector space.*

**Remark 3.2.** *Under the* $(RDQ)$ *condition, the map* $\chi$ *satisfies the following properties:*

$$\chi(0)=1 \quad \text{and} \qquad \chi(-\gamma)=\overline{\chi(\gamma)}. \tag{3.2}$$

*Also, by interchanging the roles of* $\gamma_1$ *and* $\gamma_2$ *in* $(RDQ)$ *and using the fact that the symplectic form* $\omega(\cdot,\cdot)$ *is antisymmetric, we have necessarily*

$$\nu\omega(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)\in \pi\mathbb{Z} \tag{3.3}$$

*for every* $\gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\Gamma$.

**Remark 3.3.** *The* $(RDQ)$ *condition is equivalent to that the complex valued function* $J_{\nu,\chi}$ *defined on* $\Gamma\times\mathbb{C}^n$ *by* $J_{\nu,\chi}(\gamma,z):=\chi(\gamma)e^{i\nu\omega(z,\gamma)}$ *is an automorphy factor satisfying the cocycle identity,*

$$J_{\nu,\chi}(\gamma_1+\gamma_2,z)=J_{\nu,\chi}(\gamma_1,z+\gamma_2)J_{\nu,\chi}(\gamma_2,z).$$

*Therefore* $\phi_\gamma(z;v):=(z+\gamma;\chi(\gamma)e^{i\nu\omega(z,\gamma)}.v)$ *defines an action of* $\Gamma$ *on* $\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{C}$ *and the associated quotient space* $(\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{C})/\Gamma$ *is a line bundle over the torus* $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ *with fiber* $\mathbb{C}=\tau^{-1}([z])$*, where the projection map* $\tau:(\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{C})/\Gamma\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ *is the natural one induced from the canonical projection* $\pi:\mathbb{C}^n\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$*. Thus, one can regard the space* $\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ *as the space of* $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ *sections of the above line bundle over the complex torus* $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ *and therefore* $\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ *is of infinite dimension.*

**Remark 3.4.** *The abbreviation* $(RDQ)$ *is used to refer to "Riemann-Dirac Quantization" condition. Indeed, the pair* $(H,E)$*, with* $H(z,w):=(\nu/\pi)\langle z,w\rangle$ *and* $E(z,w):=\Im m H(z,w)$*, satisfies the Riemann condition* [7, 14]*, and then the considered complex torus is an abelian variety. Also, the condition (3.3) is that called in Quantum Mechanics Dirac quantization.*

*Proof of Proposition 3.1.* The proof of "only if" follows by assuming that $\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ is nontrivial space and next by computing $f(z+\gamma_1+\gamma_2)$ in two manners, for a given nonzero function $f\in\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$. Indeed, we have

$$f(z+\gamma_1+\gamma_2)=\chi(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)e^{i\nu\omega(z,\gamma_1+\gamma_2)}f(z) \tag{3.4}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} f(z+\gamma_1+\gamma_2)=f([z+\gamma_1]+\gamma_2)&=\chi(\gamma_2)e^{i\nu\omega(z+\gamma_1,\gamma_2)}f(z+\gamma_1)\\ &=\chi(\gamma_1)\chi(\gamma_2)e^{i\nu\omega(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)}e^{i\nu\omega(z,\gamma_1+\gamma_2)}f(z). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Next, by equating the right hand sides of (3.4) and (3.5) and using the fact that $f$ is not identically zero, we conclude that

$$\chi(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)=\chi(\gamma_1)\chi(\gamma_2)e^{i\nu\omega(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)} \tag{$RDQ$}$$

for all $\gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\Gamma$.

For the converse, we may use Remark 3.3. But for readers whom are not familiar to such language a direct proof can be given. In fact by classical analysis, one can pick any arbitrary non zero $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ function $\psi$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\mathrm{Supp}\psi\subset\Lambda(\Gamma)$, where $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ is a fundamental domain of $\Gamma$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then we can use the following lemma to show that the space $\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ is a nonzero space and it is of infinite dimension.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Suppose that the condition* $(RDQ)$ *holds. Let* $\psi$ *be a compactly supported* $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ *function such that* $\mathrm{Supp}\psi\subset\Lambda(\Gamma)$*, and denote by* $\mathcal{P}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}\psi$ *the* $(\Gamma,\chi)$*-periodization (à la Poincaré) of* $\psi$ *given by*

$$[\mathcal{P}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}\psi](z)=\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\overline{\chi(\gamma)}e^{-i\nu\omega(z,\gamma)}\psi(z+\gamma)$$

*or equivalently (in view of Remark 3.2) by*

$$[\mathcal{P}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}\psi](z) = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \chi(\gamma) e^{i\nu\omega(z,\gamma)}\psi(z-\gamma).$$

*Then, we have*

*i) The function $\mathcal{P}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}\psi$ is a nonzero $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ function on $\mathbb{C}^n$.*

*ii) The function $\mathcal{P}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}\psi$ belongs to the space $\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$.* □

*Proof of Lemma 3.5.* i) By construction the Poincaré series $[\mathcal{P}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}\psi](z)$ is well defined as $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ function on $\mathbb{C}^n$. Furthermore, since $\Gamma$ is discrete, $Supp\psi \subset \Lambda(\Gamma)$ and $\chi(0)=1$, it is easy to see that for every $z \in Supp\psi$, we have

$$[\mathcal{P}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}\psi](z) = \psi(z).$$

ii) For every $\gamma\in\Gamma$ and $z\in\mathbb{C}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathcal{P}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}\psi](z+\gamma) &= \sum_{h\in\Gamma} \chi(h) e^{i\nu\omega(z+\gamma,h)}\psi([z+\gamma]-h)\\ &\overset{h=\gamma+k}{=} \sum_{k\in\Gamma} \chi(\gamma+k) e^{i\nu\omega(z+\gamma,\gamma+k)}\psi(z-k).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the $(RDQ)$ condition $\chi(\gamma+k)=\chi(\gamma)\chi(k)e^{i\nu\omega(\gamma,k)}$, we get

$$[\mathcal{P}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}\psi](z+\gamma) = \chi(\gamma)e^{i\nu\omega(z,\gamma)} \sum_{k\in\Gamma} \chi(k) e^{2i\nu\omega(\gamma,k)} e^{i\nu\omega(z,k)}\psi(z-k)$$

Next, since $\nu\omega(\gamma,k)\in\pi\mathbb{Z}$ for all $\gamma,k\in\Gamma$, it follows that $e^{2i\nu\omega(\gamma,k)}=1$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathcal{P}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}\psi](z+\gamma) &= \chi(\gamma)e^{i\nu\omega(z,\gamma)} \sum_{k\in\Gamma} \chi(k) e^{i\nu\omega(z,k)}\psi(z-k)\\ &= \chi(\gamma)e^{i\nu\omega(z,\gamma)}[\mathcal{P}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}\psi](z).\end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 3.5 is finished. □

Now noting that for every $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ the product function $f_1(z)\overline{f_2}(z)$ is a $\Gamma$-periodic on $\mathbb{C}^n$, then $\int_{\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma} f_1(z)\overline{f_2}(z)dm(z)$ makes sense, and therefore we can equip $\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ with the inner scalar product, to wit

$$\langle f_1, f_2\rangle_\Gamma := \int_{\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma} f_1(z)\overline{f_2}(z)dm(z) = \int_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} f_1(z)\overline{f_2}(z)dm(z), \tag{3.6}$$

where $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ is any given fundamental domain of the lattice $\Gamma$. We denote by $L^{2,\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ the completion of $\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ with respect to the norm

$$|f|_\Gamma = \sqrt{\langle f,f\rangle_\Gamma}; \quad f\in\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}.$$

**Remark 3.6.** *The Hilbert space $L^{2,\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ can be characterized as the space of all measurable functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$ that are square integrable on $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure $dm$ and satisfying the functional equation*

$$f(z+\gamma) = \chi(\gamma)e^{i\nu\omega(z,\gamma)}f(z)$$

*for almost every $z\in\mathbb{C}^n$ and every $\gamma\in\Gamma$.*

In parallel to $\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$, we can consider the functional space

$$\mathcal{G}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi} = \Big\{ g\in\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n); \quad g(z+\gamma) = \chi(\gamma)e^{\frac{\nu}{2}|\gamma|^2+\nu\langle z,\gamma\rangle}g(z)\Big\}. \tag{3.7}$$

endowed with the norm $||\cdot||_\Gamma$ associated with the Hermitian scalar product

$$\langle\langle g_1, g_2\rangle\rangle_\Gamma = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma} g_1(z)\overline{g_2}(z) e^{-\nu|z|^2} dm(z), \tag{3.8}$$

Then, we verify that $(\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}, |\cdot|_\Gamma)$ and $(\mathcal{G}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}, ||\cdot||_\Gamma)$ are isometric pre-Hilbertian spaces through the mapping

$$f \in \mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi} \longmapsto \mathfrak{G} f \in \mathcal{G}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}; \quad [\mathfrak{G} f](z) = e^{\frac{\nu}{2}|z|^2} f(z). \tag{3.9}$$

Thus the Landau Hamiltonian $\mathbb{L}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ acting on $\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ gives rise to the following second order differential operator $\Delta^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ acting on $\mathcal{G}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ by means of

$$\Delta^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi} g = \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{\nu}{2}|z|^2}\Big[\mathbb{L}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi} - n\nu\Big](e^{-\frac{\nu}{2}|z|^2} g) \tag{3.10}$$

for every $g \in \mathcal{G}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$. More precisely, we have

$$\Delta^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi} = \sum_{j=1}^n \Big(\frac{-\partial^2}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_j} + \nu \bar{z}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j}\Big). \tag{3.11}$$

Therefore, describing the spectral analysis of $\mathbb{L}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ on $\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ is equivalent to do it for $\Delta^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ on the functional space $\mathcal{G}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$.

Below, we will focus on the natural subspace $\mathcal{O}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of $\mathcal{G}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$, consisting of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$, $g \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, satisfying the functional equation

$$g(z+\gamma) = \chi(\gamma) e^{\frac{\nu}{2}|\gamma|^2 + \nu\langle z,\gamma\rangle} g(z)$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{O}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n) = \Big\{ g \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n); \quad g(z+\gamma) = \chi(\gamma) e^{\frac{\nu}{2}|\gamma|^2 + \nu\langle z,\gamma\rangle} g(z) \Big\}. \tag{3.12}$$

Then, according to the isometry $\mathcal{G}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi} \cong \mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$, one concludes easily from Proposition 3.1 that $(RDQ)$ is a necessarily condition to $\mathcal{O}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be nontrivial subspace of $\mathcal{G}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$. This can be handled directly as in Proposition 3.1. But for the converse, i.e., $(RDQ)$ implies $\mathcal{O}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n) \neq \{0\}$, one has to proceed differently since we do not dispose with holomorphic functions with compact support. For this let consider the function $K^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)$ defined on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ by the convergent series in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n)$

$$K^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w) := \Big(\frac{\nu}{\pi}\Big)^n e^{\nu\langle z,w\rangle} \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \chi(\gamma) e^{-\frac{\nu}{2}|\gamma|^2 + \nu(\langle z,\gamma\rangle - \overline{\langle w,\gamma\rangle})}. \tag{3.13}$$

Then, we state

**Theorem 3.7.** *Suppose that the condition $(RDQ)$ is satisfied and let $K^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)$ be the function defined by (3.13). Then*

i) *For every $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we have $K^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w) = \overline{K^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(w,z)}$.*

ii) *For every $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ and $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we have*

$$K^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(z+\gamma_1, w+\gamma_2) = \chi(\gamma_1) e^{\frac{\nu}{2}|\gamma_1|^2 + \nu\langle z,\gamma_1\rangle} K^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w) \overline{\chi(\gamma_2)} e^{\frac{\nu}{2}|\gamma_2|^2 + \nu\overline{\langle w,\gamma_2\rangle}}. \tag{3.14}$$

*In particular the function $z \longmapsto K^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ for every fixed $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$.*

iii) *For $vol(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma)$ denoting the Lebesgue volume of a fundamental domain of the lattice $\Gamma$, we have*

$$\int_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} K^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,z) e^{-\nu|z|^2} dm(z) = (\nu/\pi)^n vol(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma).$$

iv) *For every $g\in \mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, we have*

$$g(z)=\int_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} K^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)g(w)e^{-\nu|w|^2}dm(w).$$

*Proof.* i) is easy to check. Indeed we use the fact that $\overline{\chi(\gamma)}=\chi(-\gamma)$, for the $(RDQ)$ condition being satisfied, and next the change $-\gamma$ by $\gamma$ in the involved summation (3.13).

For the assertion ii), one gets from (3.13) that

$$K^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z+\gamma,w)=(\nu/\pi)^n e^{\nu\langle z,w\rangle}\sum_{\gamma'\in\Gamma}\chi(\gamma')e^{\nu\langle\gamma,\gamma'\rangle}e^{-\frac{\nu}{2}|\gamma'|^2+\nu(\langle z,\gamma'\rangle-\overline{\langle w,\gamma'-\gamma\rangle})}.$$

Next, by making the change $\gamma" =\gamma'-\gamma$ and using the $(RDQ)$ condition, it follows

$$K^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z+\gamma,w)=\chi(\gamma)e^{\frac{\nu}{2}|\gamma|^2+\nu\langle z,\gamma\rangle}K^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w);\qquad \gamma\in\Gamma.$$

Hence we obtain (3.14) thanks to i). Therefore, the function $K^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ for being holomorphic function.

For iii), we make use of (3.13) again, to get

$$\int_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} K^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,z)e^{-\nu|z|^2}dm(z)=(\nu/\pi)^n\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\chi(\gamma)e^{-\frac{\nu}{2}|\gamma|^2}\left(\int_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} e^{2i\nu\omega(z,\gamma)}dm(z)\right).$$

Now, since the condition $(RDQ)$ is satisfied, we see that the function $z\mapsto e^{2i\nu\omega(z,\gamma)}$ is $\Gamma$-periodic for every fixed $\gamma\in\Gamma$ and therefore $S_\gamma$; $\gamma\in\Gamma$, where $S_\gamma(z):=e^{2i\nu\omega(z,\gamma)}$, define a group character on $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$. Hence, we have (see [4, page 3480], but a direct proof is presented hereafter):

**Lemma 3.8.** *Assume that the $(RDQ)$ condition is verified. Then, for every $\gamma\in\Gamma\setminus\{0\}$, we have*

$$\int_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} e^{2i\nu\omega(w,\gamma)}dm(w)=0. \tag{3.15}$$

Thus, it follows

$$\int_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} K^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,z)e^{-\nu|z|^2}dm(z)=(\nu/\pi)^n\chi(0)\mathrm{vol}(\Lambda(\Gamma))=(\nu/\pi)^n\mathrm{vol}(\Lambda(\Gamma)).$$

The proof of iv) relies essentially on the following

**Lemma 3.9.** *For any given holomorphic function $g\in\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ satisfying the growth condition $|g(z)|\le Ce^{\frac{\nu}{2}|z|^2}$, we have the following reproducing formula*

$$g(z)=(\nu/\pi)^n\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{\nu\langle z,w\rangle}g(w)e^{-\nu|w|^2}dm(w). \tag{3.16}$$

Then, since for every $g\in\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, there exists certain constant $C\ge 0$ such that $|g(z)|\le Ce^{\frac{\nu}{2}|z|^2}$, one can apply (3.16) to have

$$g(z)=(\nu/\pi)^n\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{\nu\langle z,w\rangle}g(w)e^{-\nu|w|^2}dm(w).$$

Next, by writing $\mathbb{C}^n$ as disjoint union of $\gamma+\Lambda(\Gamma)$, for varying $\gamma\in\Gamma$ and using the fact that the function $g$ satisfies the functional equation

$$g(w+\gamma)=\chi(\gamma)e^{\frac{\nu}{2}|\gamma|^2+\nu\langle w,\gamma\rangle}g(w),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= (\nu/\pi)^n \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\int_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} e^{\nu\langle z,w+\gamma\rangle} g(w+\gamma)e^{-\nu|w+\gamma|^2}dm(w)\\ &= \int_{\Lambda(\Gamma)}\Big[(\nu/\pi)^n\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\chi(\gamma)e^{\nu\langle z,w+\gamma\rangle}e^{-\frac{\nu}{2}|\gamma|^2-\nu\overline{\langle w,\gamma\rangle}}\Big]g(w)e^{-\nu|w|^2}dm(w). \end{aligned}$$

Finally by definition of $K^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)$ given by (3.13), we conclude that

$$g(z) = \int_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} K^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)g(w)e^{-\nu|w|^2}dm(w). \qquad \square$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.8.* Fix $\gamma\in\Gamma$ such that $\gamma\neq 0$ and note that $z\to\omega(z,\gamma)$ is a nonzero function on a given fundamental domain $\Lambda(\Gamma)$. Let $u_1,u_2,\cdots,u_{2n}\in\Gamma$ be a basis of $\Lambda(\Gamma)$. For every fixed $z\in\Lambda(\Gamma)$, we write $z=t_1u_1+t_2u_2+\cdots+t_{2n}u_{2n}$ with $t_j\in[0,1]$. By the $G$-invariance of $dm$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} e^{2i\nu\omega(z,\gamma)}dm(z) &= vol(\Lambda(\Gamma))\prod_{j=1}^{2n}\int_0^1 e^{2i\nu t_j\omega(u_j,\gamma)}dt_j\\ &= vol(\Lambda(\Gamma)) \prod_{\substack{j=1\\ \omega(u_j,\gamma)\neq 0}}^{2n} \int_0^1 e^{2i\nu t_j\omega(u_j,\gamma)}dt_j\\ &= vol(\Lambda(\Gamma)) \prod_{\substack{j=1\\ \omega(u_j,\gamma)\neq 0}}^{2n} \frac{\left(e^{2i\nu\omega(u_j,\gamma)}-1\right)}{2i\nu\omega(u_j,\gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

But, since $e^{2i\nu\omega(u_j,\gamma)}=1$ for the triplet $(\nu,\Gamma,\chi)$ satisfying the $(RDQ)$ condition, we get

$$\int_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} e^{2i\nu\omega(z,\gamma)}dm(z) = 0. \qquad \square$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.9.* Let $g\in\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ such that $|g(z)|\leq Ce^{\frac{\nu}{2}|z|^2}$ and consider the function $g_\varepsilon(z):=g(\varepsilon z)$; $z\in\mathbb{C}^n$, for every given $\varepsilon$; $0<\varepsilon<1$. Then, clearly $g_\varepsilon$ is holomorphic and satisfies $|g_\varepsilon(z)|\leq Ce^{\frac{\nu}{2}\varepsilon^2|z|^2}$. Furthermore, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n}|g_\varepsilon(z)|^2e^{-\nu|z|^2}dm(z)\leq C^2\int_{\mathbb{C}^n}e^{-\nu(1-\varepsilon^2)|z|^2}dm(z)<+\infty$$

and therefore $g_\varepsilon$ belongs to the Bargmann-Fock space $\mathcal{B}^{2,\nu}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\mathcal{B}^{2,\nu}(\mathbb{C}^n)=\left\{g\in\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n);\quad \int_{\mathbb{C}^n}|g(z)|^2e^{-\nu|z|^2}dm(z)<+\infty\right\}. \tag{3.17}$$

Hence, for every $\varepsilon$ with $0<\varepsilon<1$, we have

$$g_\varepsilon(z)=(\nu/\pi)^n\int_{\mathbb{C}^n}e^{\nu\langle z,w\rangle}g_\varepsilon(w)e^{-\nu|w|^2}dm(w).$$

Finally by tending $\varepsilon$ to 1 and applying the dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$g(z)=(\nu/\pi)^n\int_{\mathbb{C}^n}e^{\nu\langle z,w\rangle}g(w)e^{-\nu|w|^2}dm(w). \qquad \square$$

As consequence of the previous theorem, we state the following result for the space $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ endowed with the norm $||\cdot||_\Gamma$ associated with (3.8).

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let the condition $(RDQ)$ to be satisfied. Then*

i) $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ *is a nonzero space.*

ii) $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ *is a reproducing Hilbert space whose the reproducing kernel is given by (3.13), i.e.,*

$$K^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w) := \left(\frac{\nu}{\pi}\right)^n e^{\nu\langle z,w\rangle} \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \chi(\gamma) e^{-\frac{\nu}{2}|\gamma|^2+\nu(\langle z,\gamma\rangle - \overline{\langle w,\gamma\rangle})}. \tag{3.18}$$

iii) $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ *is a finite dimensional space whose dimension is*

$$\dim \mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n) = (\nu/\pi)^n vol(\Lambda(\Gamma)).$$

*Proof.* For i), we see from iii) of Theorem 3.7 that $K^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)$ is a non vanishing function on $\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{C}^n$. Hence $K^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z_0,w_0)\neq 0$ for some $(z_0,w_0)\in\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{C}^n$. Therefore the function $z\mapsto K^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w_0)$ is a nonzero function that belongs to $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ by ii) of Theorem 3.7.

To prove ii), we apply the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to iv) of Theorem 3.7. Thus, we see that for every $g\in\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, we have

$$|g(z)| \leq \Big(\int_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} |K^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)|^2 e^{-\nu|w|^2} dm(w)\Big)^{1/2} ||g||_{\Gamma}. \tag{3.19}$$

Then for any given bounded domain $\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}^n$, we get

$$|g(z)| \leq C_{\Omega}||g||_{\Gamma}, \quad z\in\overline{\Omega}, \tag{3.20}$$

for certain constant $C_\Omega$. Therefore, any Cauchy sequence $g_j$ in $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ for the norm $||\cdot||_{\Gamma}$, is also a uniformly Cauchy sequence on any compact set of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and then converges to a holomorphic function $g$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$. Next, since all $g_j$ satisfies the functional equation

$$g_j(z+\gamma) = \chi(\gamma) e^{\frac{\nu}{2}|\gamma|^2+\nu\langle z,\gamma\rangle} g_j(z),$$

it follows that $g$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

This shows that the nonzero space $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is in fact a Hilbert space for the norm $||\cdot||_{\Gamma}$. Furthermore, the use of (3.20) infers that the evaluation map $\delta_z : \mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$ given by $\delta_z g := g(z)$, is continuous for every fixed $z\in\mathbb{C}^n$. Hence $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ possesses a unique reproducing kernel function $\tilde{K}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)$. But in view of Theorem 3.7 [mainly i), ii) and iv)], we deduce that $\tilde{K}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)$ is exactly the function $K^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)$ given through (3.18).

The dimension of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ can be calculated by integrating its reproducing kernel $K^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)$ along the diagonal, that is

$$\dim \mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n) = \int_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} K^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,z) e^{-\nu|z|^2} dm(z).$$

Whence, in light of ii) of Theorem 3.7, it follows

$$\dim \mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n) = (\nu/\pi)^n \mathrm{vol}(\Lambda(\Gamma))$$

and hence the proof of the theorem is completed. □

**Remark 3.11.** *The space $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is of interest in itself for it linked in somehow to the classical Bargmann-Fock space $\mathcal{B}^{2,\nu}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Indeed, according to the explicit expression (3.13), the reproducing kernel $K^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ of the space $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ appears then as $(\Gamma,\chi)$-periodization, with respect to the automorphy factor $\chi(\gamma)e^{\frac{\nu}{2}|\gamma|^2+\nu\langle w,\gamma\rangle}$, of the reproducing kernel $(\nu/\pi)^n e^{\nu\langle w,\gamma\rangle}$ of the Bargmann-Fock space $\mathcal{B}^{2,\nu}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ (3.17).*

In the following table, we summarize some basic properties related to the spaces $\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$, $\mathcal{G}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

| | Related items to $\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ | $\mathfrak{G}$ | Related items to $\mathcal{G}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ |
|---|---|---|---|
| Automorphy factor | $\chi(\gamma)e^{i\nu\omega(z,\gamma)}$ | | $\chi(\gamma)e^{\frac{\nu}{2}\lvert\gamma\rvert^2+\nu\langle z,\gamma\rangle}$ |
| Functional equation | $f(z+\gamma)=\chi(\gamma)e^{i\nu\omega(z,\gamma)}f(z)$ | | $g(z+\gamma)=\chi(\gamma)e^{\frac{\nu}{2}\lvert\gamma\rvert^2+\nu\langle z,\gamma\rangle}g(z)$ |
| Growth condition | $\lvert f(z)\rvert\leq C$ | | $\lvert g(z)\rvert\leq Ce^{\frac{\nu}{2}\lvert z\rvert^2}$ |
| Natural subspaces | $\mathfrak{G}^{-1}[\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)]$ $\boxed{?}$ | $\cong$ | $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ |
| Dimension formulas | $\dim\mathfrak{G}^{-1}[\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)]$ | $=$ | $\dim\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ |
| Scalar product | $\langle f_1,f_2\rangle_\Gamma$ | | $\langle\langle g_1,g_2\rangle\rangle_\Gamma$ |
| Hilbert structure | $L^{2,\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}=\overline{\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}}^{\langle,\rangle_\Gamma}$ | $\cong$ | $B^{2,\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}=\overline{\mathcal{G}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}}^{\langle\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\rangle_\Gamma}$ |
| Differential operator | $\mathbb{L}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ | | $\Delta^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ |
| Particular Eigenspaces | $\ker(\mathbb{L}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}-n\nu)=\mathcal{E}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(0)$ | $\cong$ | $\ker\Delta^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ |
| Dimension Formulas | $\dim\mathcal{E}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(0)$ $\boxed{?}$ | $=$ | $\dim\ker\Delta^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ $\boxed{?}$ |

**Remark 3.12.** *The answers to the three question marks in the above table are included in the main theorem. and iii) of Corollary 3.10. In fact, the space* $\mathfrak{G}^{-1}[\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)]$ *represents in the* $\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ *picture the eigenspace of* $\mathbb{L}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ *associated with its lowest eigenvalue. Thus*

$$\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)\cong\mathfrak{G}^{-1}[\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)]\equiv\mathcal{E}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(0)\cong\ker\Delta^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}.$$

## 4. GENERAL PROPERTIES OF THE $(\Gamma,\chi)$-AUTOMORPHIC KERNEL FUNCTIONS OF MAGNITUDE $\nu>0$

Here we reconsider the action of the semi-direct group $G=U(n)\rtimes\mathbb{C}^n$ on $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n;dm)$ given through the unitary transformations (2.1), $[T^{\nu}_g f](z):=j_\nu(g,z)f(g.z)$, where $j_\nu(g,z)$ $=e^{i\nu\omega(z,g^{-1}.0)}$.

**Definition 4.1.** *A given* $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ *function* $\mathcal{K}(z,w)$ *on* $\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{C}^n$ *is said to be G-invariant if it verifies the following property*

$$\mathcal{K}(g.z,g.w)=\overline{j_\nu(g,z)}\mathcal{K}(z,w)j_\nu(g,w). \tag{4.1}$$

Thus, one can see that a given kernel function $\mathcal{K}(z,w)$ on $\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{C}^n$ is $G$-invariant if and only if it is of the form

$$\mathcal{K}(z,w)=e^{i\nu\omega(z,w)}Q^{\nu}(|z-w|) \tag{4.2}$$

for certain function $Q^\nu$ defined on the positive real line. Throughout this section, we will suppose that the involved $Q^\nu$ is rapidly decreasing function on $[0,+\infty)$ and that the $(RDQ)$ condition is satisfied. Thus, we define $\mathcal{K}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ to be the function on $\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{C}^n$ given by the following convergent series

$$\mathcal{K}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)=e^{i\nu\omega(z,w)}\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\chi(\gamma)e^{i\nu\omega(z+w,\gamma)}Q^{\nu}(|z-w-\gamma|). \tag{4.3}$$

The function $\mathcal{K}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)$ is in fact the $(\Gamma,\chi)$-periodization (à la Poincaré) of the appropriate function $\mathcal{K}_z(w):=\mathcal{K}(z,w)$ and can be rewritten in the following variant forms

$$\mathcal{K}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)=\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\chi(\gamma)T^{-\nu}_{\gamma}[\mathcal{K}_z](w) \tag{4.4}$$

$$=\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\chi(\gamma)T^{\nu}_{-\gamma}[\mathcal{K}(\xi,w)]|_{\xi=z} \tag{4.5}$$

Therefore, using the $(RDQ)$ condition, it can be shown that $\mathcal{K}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)$ satisfies the following $\Gamma$-bi-invariant property

$$\mathcal{K}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z+\gamma,w+\gamma')=\chi(\gamma)\overline{j_\nu(\gamma,z)}\mathcal{K}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)\overline{\chi(\gamma')}j_\nu(\gamma',w) \tag{4.6}$$

for every $\gamma,\gamma'\in\Gamma$, and in particular it is $\Gamma$-invariant. Moreover, we have $\overline{\mathcal{K}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)}=\mathcal{K}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(w,z)$ if and only if the function $Q^\nu$ is assumed to be, in addition, a real valued function.

**Definition 4.2.** *We call $\mathcal{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)$, given by (4.3), the $(\Gamma,\chi)$-automorphic kernel function on $\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{C}^n$ of magnitude $\nu>0$ associated with the $G$-invariant kernel function $\mathcal{K}(z,w)$.*

Now, let denote by $\mathbf{K}$ the integral operator acting on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n;dm)$ by

$$\Big[\mathbf{K}(\varphi)\Big](z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n}\mathcal{K}(z,w)\varphi(w)dm(w) \tag{4.7}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{i\nu\omega(z,w)}Q^\nu(|z-w|)\varphi(w)dm(w) \tag{4.8}$$

which is well defined for $Q^\nu$ being assumed to be a rapidly decreasing function. Also, let denote by $\mathbf{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ the integral operator associated with the $(\Gamma,\chi)$-automorphic kernel function $\mathcal{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ and acting on the Hilbert space $L^{2,\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ by

$$\Big[\mathbf{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\psi)\Big](z) = \int_{\Lambda(\Gamma)}\mathcal{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)\psi(w)dm(w),. \tag{4.9}$$

At once, since $Q^\nu$ is rapidly decreasing, we show that the integral operator $\mathbf{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ is of trace. More precisely, we have the following result (whose the proof is exactly the same as the one provided for ii) of Theorem 3.7).

**Proposition 4.3.** *Under the (RDQ) condition and the assumption that $Q^\nu$ is rapidly decreasing, the trace of the integral operator $\mathbf{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ can be given by*

$$Trace(\mathbf{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}) = \int_{\Lambda(\Gamma)}\mathcal{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,z)dm(z) = Q^\nu(0)\mathrm{vol}(\Lambda(\Gamma)). \tag{4.10}$$

As immediate consequence, we have

**Corollary 4.4.** *If the function $Q^\nu$ verifies $Q^\nu(0)\neq 0$, then there exists $w_0\in\mathbb{C}^n$ such that the function $z\longmapsto\mathcal{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w_0)$ is nonzero function on $\mathbb{C}^n$.*

The relationship between $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ is given by the following

**Lemma 4.5.** *For every $\psi\in\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$, we have*

$$[\mathbf{K}(\psi)](z) := \int_{\mathbb{C}^n}\mathcal{K}(z,w)\psi(w)dm(w) = \int_{\Lambda(\Gamma)}\mathcal{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)\psi(w)dm(w)$$

*which means that $\mathbf{K}|_{\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}} = \mathbf{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$.*

*Proof.* Writing $\mathbb{C}^n$ as disjoint union of $\gamma+\Lambda(\Gamma)$, $\gamma\in\Gamma$, and using the fact that the Lebesgue measure $dm$ is $G$-invariant as well as that any arbitrary function $\psi$ in $\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ satisfies $\psi(w+\gamma)=\chi(\gamma)\overline{j_\nu(\gamma,w)}\psi(w)$ for every $\gamma\in\Gamma$ and every $w\in\mathbb{C}^n$. □

The above property allows $\mathbf{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ to inherit some useful properties of $\mathbf{K}$. For instance, we have the following commutations:

**Proposition 4.6.**

i) *For every $g\in G$, we have $T^\nu_g\mathbf{K}=\mathbf{K}T^\nu_g$.*
ii) *For every $\gamma\in\Gamma$, we have $T^\nu_\gamma\mathbf{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}=\mathbf{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}T^\nu_\gamma$.*
iii) *The Landau Hamiltonian $\mathbb{L}^\nu$ commutes with both integral operators $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$, i.e.,*

$$\mathbb{L}^\nu\mathbf{K}=\mathbf{K}\mathbb{L}^\nu \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{L}^\nu\mathbf{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}=\mathbf{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}\mathbb{L}^\nu. \tag{4.11}$$

*Proof.* i) is easy to check. Indeed, using the invariance property (4.1) that we can rewrite also as

$$j_\nu(g,z)\mathcal{K}(g.z,w) = \mathcal{K}(z,g^{-1}.w)\overline{j_\nu(g^{-1},w)}, \tag{4.12}$$

it follows that

$$T_g^\nu\big[\mathbf{K}(\varphi)\big](z) \overset{(4.12)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \mathcal{K}(z, g^{-1}.w)\overline{j_\nu(g^{-1}, w)}\varphi(w)dm(w).$$

Next, by making use of the change $w' = g^{-1}.w$, we conclude that

$$T_g^\nu\big[\mathbf{K}(\varphi)\big](z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \mathcal{K}(g, w')[T_g^\nu(\varphi)](w')dm(w') = \mathbf{K}\big[T_g^\nu(\varphi)\big](z)$$

ii) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.5 combined with i) above, keeping in mind the fact that $T_\gamma^\nu\psi$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma,\chi}^\nu$ if $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma,\chi}^\nu$.

For iii), we begin by noting that if we use the notation $\mathbb{L}_u^\nu$ to mean that the derivation is taken w.r.t. the complex variable $u$, then we have

$$\mathbb{L}_z^\nu \mathcal{K}(z, w) = \mathbb{L}_w^{-\nu}\mathcal{K}(z, w). \tag{4.13}$$

Put $\mathcal{K}_0(\xi) := \mathcal{K}(\xi, 0)$. Hence in view of the fact that for every $g_z, g_w \in G$ such that $g_z.z = 0$ and $g_w.w = 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{K}(z, w) = \big[T_{g_w}^\nu\big(\mathcal{K}_0(\xi)\big)\big]|_{\xi=z} = \big[T_{g_z}^{-\nu}\big(\mathcal{K}_0(\xi)\big)\big]|_{\xi=w}, \tag{4.14}$$

together with the fact that $\mathbb{L}_z^\nu$ and $T_{g_w}^\nu$ commute, we get

$$[\mathbb{L}_z^\nu\mathcal{K}(z, w)] = \mathbb{L}_\xi^\nu[T_{g_w}^\nu\mathcal{K}_0(\xi)]|_{\xi=z} = T_{g_w}^\nu[\mathbb{L}_\xi^\nu\mathcal{K}_0(\xi)]|_{\xi=z} = T_{g_w}^\nu[\mathbb{L}_\xi^{-\nu}\mathcal{K}_0(\xi)]|_{\xi=z}.$$

The last equality follows from the facts that $\xi \to \mathcal{K}_0(\xi)$ is radial and the operators $\mathbb{L}^{-\nu}$ and $\mathbb{L}^\nu$ have the same radial parts. Next, using the observation

$$[T_{g_w}^\nu\varphi](z) = [T_{g_z}^{-\nu}\varphi](w),$$

for any radial function $\varphi$, we conclude

$$[\mathbb{L}_z^\nu\mathcal{K}(z, w)] = T_{g_z}^{-\nu}[\mathbb{L}_\xi^{-\nu}\mathcal{K}_0(\xi)]|_{\xi=w} = \mathbb{L}_\xi^{-\nu}[T_{g_z}^{-\nu}\mathcal{K}_0(\xi)]|_{\xi=w} \overset{(4.14)}{=} [\mathbb{L}_w^{-\nu}\mathcal{K}(z, w)].$$

Therefore, it follows

$$\mathbb{L}^\nu[\mathbf{K}f](z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n}[\mathbb{L}_w^{-\nu}\mathcal{K}(z, w)]f(w)dm(w)$$

for every $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ compactly supported function $f$. Finally, integration by parts yields

$$\mathbb{L}^\nu[\mathbf{K}f](z) = \mathbf{K}[\mathbb{L}^\nu f](z).$$

The commutation $\mathbb{L}^\nu\mathbf{K}_{\Gamma,\chi}^\nu = \mathbf{K}_{\Gamma,\chi}^\nu\mathbb{L}^\nu$ follows easily from the previous one using Lemma 4.5 together with observation that $\mathbb{L}^\nu f \in \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma,\chi}^\nu$ for $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma,\chi}^\nu$. ☐

**Remark 4.7.** *It can be shown that integral operators* $\mathbf{K}$ *satisfying the commutation rule* $T_g^\nu\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}T_g^\nu$ *are those whose kernel function* $\mathcal{K}(z, w)$ *is* $G$*-invariant and so is of the form*

$$\mathcal{K}(z, w) = e^{i\nu\omega(z,w)}Q^\nu(|z - w|). \tag{4.15}$$

To state the next result, let $g \in G$ and denote by $\mathbf{A_g}$ the averaging operator acting on $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n; dm)$ by

$$[\mathbf{A}_g(\psi)](z) := \int_{U(n)}\Big[T_{gk}^\nu(\psi)\Big](z)dk, \tag{4.16}$$

where $dk$ is the normalized Haar measure of $U(n)$. Then, we state

**Proposition 4.8.** *The integral operator* $\mathbf{K}$ *associated with the* $G$*-invariant kernel function* $\mathcal{K}$ *commutes with the averaging operator* $\mathbf{A}_g$ *as defined in (4.16). That is for every* $g \in G$ *and every* $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n; dm)$*, we have*

$$\mathbf{A}_g[\mathbf{K}(\psi)](z) = \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{A}_g(\psi)](z). \tag{4.17}$$

*Proof.* By definition, we have

$$\mathbf{A}_g[\mathbf{K}(\psi)](z) = \int_{U(n)} T^\nu_{gk}[\mathbf{K}(\psi)](z)dk.$$

Next, by applying i) of Proposition 4.6, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}_g[\mathbf{K}(\psi)](z) &= \int_{U(n)} \mathbf{K}[T^\nu_{gk}(\psi)](z)dk \\ &= \int_{U(n)} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \mathcal{K}(z,w)[T^\nu_{gk}(\psi)](w)dm(w)dk. \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \mathcal{K}(z,w)\Big(\int_{U(n)} [T^\nu_{gk}(\psi)](w)dk\Big)dm(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \mathcal{K}(z,w)[\mathbf{A}_g(\psi)](w)dm(w) \\ &= \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{A}_g(\psi)](z).\end{aligned}$$

□

Therefore, one can establish the following properties of the above averaging operator $\mathbf{A}_g$. Namely, we have

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n; dm)$ and $\mathbf{A}_g(\psi)$ its averaging as defined by (4.16) for given $g \in G$. Then,*

- i) *$\mathbf{A}_g\psi$ is a radial function for every $g \in G$.*
- ii) *If $\psi$ is bounded, then $\mathbf{A}_g\psi$ is bounded for every $g \in G$.*
- iii) *The averaging operator $\mathbf{A}_g$ commutes with the Hamiltonian $\mathbb{L}^\nu$.*
- iv) *If $\psi$ is a bounded nonzero solution of the differential equation $\mathbb{L}^\nu\psi = \nu(2\lambda + n)\psi$, then $\lambda = l$ for some $l = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, and we have*

$$[\mathbf{A}_{g_0}\psi](z) = Ce^{-\frac{\nu}{2}|z|^2}{}_1F_1(-l; n; \nu|z|^2)$$

*for some nonzero constant $C$ and certain $g_0 \in G$.*

*Proof.* To prove i) let $h \in U(n)$. Then

$$[\mathbf{A}_g(\psi)](h.z) \overset{(4.16)}{=} \int_{U(n)} [T^\nu_{gk}(\psi)](h.z)dk = \int_{U(n)} j_\nu(gk, h.z)\psi(gkh.z)dk$$

Next, making use of the fact $j_\nu(gk, h.z) = j_\nu(gkh, z)$ for $h, k \in U(n)$, the change $k' = kh \in U(n)$ and the $U(n)$-invariance of the Haar measure $dk$ yield

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathbf{A}_g(\psi)](h.z) &= \int_{U(n)} j_\nu(gkh, z)\psi(gkh.z)dk \\ &= \int_{U(n)} j_\nu(gk', z)\psi(gk'.z)dk \\ &= [\mathbf{A}_g(\psi)](z)\end{aligned}$$

and therefore $\mathbf{A}_g(\psi)$ is radial.

The assertion ii) on the boundedness of $\mathbf{A}_g(\psi)$ follows easily from the boundedness of the function $\psi$ keeping in mind that $U(n)$ is compact.

The proof of iii) relies essentially to the fact that the Landau Hamiltonian $\mathbb{L}^\nu$ commutes with the transformations $T^\nu_g$; see Proposition 2.1.

For iv), let note first from the assumption that $\psi$ is a nonzero function on $\mathbb{C}^n$, there is $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\psi(z_0) \neq 0$ and then one can choose $g_0 \in G$ such that $g_0.0 = z_0$ (such $g_0$ exists since the action of $G$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ is transitive). Hence using the fact that $k.0 = 0$ for every $k \in U(n)$, it follows

$$[\mathbf{A}_{g_0}(\psi)](0) = \psi(g_0.0) = \psi(z_0) \neq 0,$$

and therefore $\mathbf{A}_{g_0}(\psi)$ is a nonzero function on $\mathbb{C}^n$. Now, the assertion in iv) follows by combining i), ii), iii) above and i) and ii) of Proposition 2.2. $\square$

Thus, making use of the obtained properties of the operators $\mathbf{A}_g$ and $\mathbf{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$, we show the following

**Theorem 4.10** (Selberg transform). *Let $h$ be a given $L^{2,\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$-eigenvalue of the integral operator $\mathbf{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$. Then, there exists a positive integer $j$ such that*

$$h\varphi_j(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{i\nu\omega(z,w)} Q^\nu(|z-w|)\varphi_j(w)dm(w), \tag{4.18}$$

*where $\varphi_j(z) := e^{-\frac{\nu}{2}|z|^2}{}_1F_1(-j;n;\nu|z|^2)$. In particular*

$$h = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} Q^\nu(|w|){}_1F_1(-j;n;\nu|w|^2)e^{-\frac{\nu}{2}|w|^2}dm(w). \tag{4.19}$$

*Proof.* Since the operators $\mathbf{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ and $\mathbb{L}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ commute (see iii) of Proposition 4.6), there is a basis of the Hilbert space $L^{2,\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ constituted of common eigenfunctions of both $\mathbf{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ and $\mathbb{L}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi} = \mathbb{L}^\nu$. Hence, for $h$ being a given $L^{2,\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$-eigenvalue of the integral operator $\mathbf{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and a nonzero function $\psi_\lambda \in L^{2,\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ such that

$$\mathbf{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\psi_\lambda) = h\psi_\lambda \tag{4.20}$$

and

$$\mathbb{L}^\nu(\psi_\lambda) = \nu(2\lambda+n)\psi_\lambda. \tag{4.21}$$

Hence, by taking the average of the involved functions in both sides of (4.20) and using Proposition 4.8, we deduce

$$h[\mathbf{A}_{g_0}(\psi_\lambda)](z) = \mathbf{A}_{g_0}[\mathbf{K}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\psi_\lambda)](z) = \mathbf{A}_{g_0}[\mathbf{K}(\psi_\lambda)](z) = \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{A}_{g_0}(\psi_\lambda)](z)$$

where $g_0$ is certain element of $G$ such that $\psi_\lambda(g_0.0) \neq 0$. Hence, we have

$$h[\mathbf{A}_{g_0}(\psi_\lambda)](z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{i\nu\omega(z,w)} Q^\nu(|z-w|)[\mathbf{A}_{g_0}(\psi_\lambda)](w)dm(w).$$

In the other hand it follows from iv) of Proposition 4.9 that $\lambda = j$ for certain positive integer $j$ and that $\mathbf{A}_{g_0}(\psi_\lambda)$ is proportional to the radial solution $\psi_j$ of the differential equation $\mathbb{L}^\nu\phi = \nu(2j+n)\phi$, i.e.,

$$[\mathbf{A}_{g_0}(\psi_j)](w) = C\varphi_j(w) := Ce^{-\frac{\nu}{2}|w|^2}{}_1F_1(-j;n;\nu|w|^2)$$

with $C = [\mathbf{A}_{g_0}(\psi_j)](0) = \psi_j(g_0.0) \neq 0$. Therefore, we obtain

$$h\varphi_j(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{i\nu\omega(z,w)} Q^\nu(|z-w|)\varphi_j(w)dm(w).$$

In particular, we get the expression of $h$ as asserted in (4.19) when taking $z = 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.10. $\square$

## 5. Proof of main result.

First let recall that, under the $(RDQ)$ condition, the space $\mathcal{F}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ can be identified to the space of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ sections of an appropriate line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\nu,\gamma,\chi}$ over the compact manifold $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma \cong \Lambda(\Gamma)$ (see Remark 3.6). Hence, it is a standard fact [6] that the self-adjoint elliptic differential operator $\mathbb{L}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$ has discrete spectrum $Sp(\mathbb{L}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi})$, constituted of an increasing sequence of eigenvalues tending to $+\infty$ and occuring with finite degeneracy. Furthermore, we have the following orthogonal decomposition

$$L^{2,\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi} = \bigoplus_{\mu(\lambda)\in Sp(\mathbb{L}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi})} \mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\lambda) \tag{5.1}$$

with $\mu(\lambda) = \nu(2\lambda + n)$ and where $\mathcal{E}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\lambda)$; $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, are the eigenspaces

$$\mathcal{E}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\lambda) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi};\ \mathbb{L}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi} f = \nu(2\lambda + n) f \right\}.$$

Thus the main result of this paper concerns the concrete description of the orthogonal decomposition (5.1). Mainly, we determine the spectrum of the Landau Laplacian $\mathbb{L}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ when acting on $L^{2,\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ and we show that the eigenspaces $\mathcal{E}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\lambda)$ corresponding to $\lambda = l = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, are the only nonzero (finite) eigenspaces, whose the dimension is computed explicitly. Also, we give the explicit formula for their reproducing kernels. To do this, we begin by fixing a given positive integer $l$ and considering the eigenprojector kernel of the $L^2$-eigenspace $\mathcal{A}^{2,\nu}_{l}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ as given in Proposition 2.2 by (2.6), to wit

$$\mathcal{K}^{\nu}_{l}(z,w) = e^{i\nu\omega(z,w)} Q^{\nu}_{l}(|z-w|),$$

with

$$Q^{\nu}_{l}(|z-w|) = \frac{\Gamma(n+l)}{\Gamma(n) l!} (\nu/\pi)^n e^{-\frac{\nu}{2}|z-w|^2} {}_1F_1(-l; n; \nu|z-w|^2).$$

Let $\mathcal{K}^{\nu}_{l;\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)$ be its associated $(\Gamma,\chi)$-automorphic kernel function. Then from the previous section, we know that there is $w_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $z \longmapsto \mathcal{K}^{\nu}_{l;\Gamma,\chi}(z,w_0)$ is a nonzero function on $\mathbb{C}^n$ belonging to the space $\mathcal{F}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ and furthermore to the eigenspace $\mathcal{E}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(l)$. Thus, we assert

**Proposition 5.1.** *Assume the (RDQ) condition to be satisfied by the triplet $(\nu, \Gamma, \chi)$. Then the eigenspace $\mathcal{E}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\lambda)$ is a nonzero vector space if and only if $\lambda = l$ is a positive integer $l = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.*

*Proof.* We have to prove only the "only if". Indeed, it holds by applying iv) of Proposition 4.9 to a given nonzero function $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\lambda) \neq \{0\}$. □

The dimension formula of $\mathcal{E}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(l)$, $l = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, is an immediate consequence of the following

**Proposition 5.2.** *Fix $l = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ and let $\mathbf{K}^{\nu}_{l;\Gamma,\chi}$ be the integral operator on $L^{2,\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ associated with the $(\Gamma,\chi)$-automorphic kernel function $\mathcal{K}^{\nu}_{l;\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)$,*

$$\left[\mathbf{K}^{\nu}_{l;\Gamma,\chi}(\psi)\right](z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n/\gamma} \mathcal{K}^{\nu}_{l;\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)\psi(w) dm(w). \tag{5.2}$$

*Then the eigenvalues $h_{l,j}$; $j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ of $\mathbf{K}^{\nu}_{l;\Gamma,\chi}$ are given explicitly by*

$$h_{l,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad j = l \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

*Proof.* According to Theorem 4.10, it follows that if $h_{l,j}$ is an $L^{2,\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$-eigenvalue of $\mathbf{K}^{\nu}_{l;\Gamma,\chi}$, then it is given through (4.19) by

$$\begin{aligned} h_{l,j} &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} Q^{\nu}_{l}(|w|) {}_1F_1(-k; n; \nu|w|^2) e^{-\frac{\nu}{2}|w|^2} dm(w) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n+l)}{\Gamma(n) l!} (\nu/\pi)^n \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} {}_1F_1(-l; n; \nu|w|^2) {}_1F_1(-k; n; \nu|w|^2) e^{-\nu|w|^2} dm(w) \end{aligned}$$

for some (unique) positive integer $k = k_j$. Next, by the use of the polar coordinates $z = r\theta$, $r \geq 0$, $\theta \in S^{2n-1}$, the change of variable $x = \nu r^2$ and the fact that the involved hypergeometric function ${}_1F_1(-j; c; x)$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ is related to the orthogonal Laguerre polynomial $L^{c-1}_{j}(x)$ [11, page 333],

$${}_1F_1(-j; c; x) = \frac{j! \Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(j+c)} L^{c-1}_{j}(x),$$

we get

$$h_{l,j} = \frac{vol(S^{2n-1})}{2\pi^n}\frac{k!\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(k+n)}\int_0^{+\infty} L_l^{n-1}(x)L_k^{n-1}(x)x^{n-1}e^{-x}dx.$$

The above involved integral is known to be given by [11, page 56],

$$\int_0^{+\infty} L_l^{n-1}(x)L_k^{n-1}(x)x^{n-1}e^{-x}dx = \frac{\Gamma(l+n)}{l!}\delta_{kl}.$$

Thus, since $\mathrm{vol}(S^{2n-1}) = 2\pi^n/\Gamma(n)$, we get $h_{l,j} = \delta_{kl}$, where $\delta_{kl}$ denotes here the Kronecker symbol. □

Using the above result together with (4.18) in Theorem 4.10, keeping in mind that $Q_l^\nu(0) = 1$, one deduces easily the following

**Corollary 5.3.** *The function $\mathcal{K}^\nu_{l;\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)$ is the reproducing kernel of the eigenspace $\mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(l)$, that is*

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma} \mathcal{K}^\nu_{l;\Gamma,\chi}(z,w)f(w)dm(w)$$

*for every $f \in \mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(l)$. Therefore, we have*

$$\dim \mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(l) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma} \mathcal{K}^\nu_{l;\Gamma,\chi}(z,z)dm(z) = \frac{\Gamma(n+l)}{\Gamma(n)l!}(\nu/\pi)^n vol(\Lambda(\Gamma)).$$

Hence to complete the proof of the main theorem, we have only to prove the following

**Proposition 5.4.** *Under the (RDQ) condition, the functional space $\mathcal{O}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ defined by (3.12) is isomorphic to the fundamental space of $(\Gamma,\chi)$-ground states, $\mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(0)$. Precisely, $f \longmapsto g = e^{\frac{\nu}{2}|z|^2}f$ defines an isomorphism map from $\mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(0)$ onto $\mathcal{O}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$.*

*Proof.* The assertion is a consequence of Proposition 5.2 combined with iii) of Corollary 3.10. Indeed, from the explicit obtained dimensional formulas, we deduce that $\dim \mathcal{O}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n) = \dim \mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(0)$. Therefore, making the observation that

$$\mathfrak{G}^{-1}[\mathcal{O}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)] \subset \mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(0)$$

for $\mathcal{O}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n) \subset \ker \Delta^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi} = \mathfrak{G}[\mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(0)]$, it follows also that

$$\mathcal{O}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n) = \ker \Delta^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi} \cong \mathcal{E}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}(0).$$

This completes the proof. □

**Remark 5.5.** *According to the proof of the last proposition, we note that the space of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying the functional equation*

$$g(z+\gamma) = \chi(\gamma)e^{\frac{\nu}{2}|\gamma|^2+\nu\langle z,\gamma\rangle}g(z)$$

*can be viewed as the null space of the differential operator*

$$\Delta^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi} = \sum_{j=1}^n\left(\frac{-\partial^2}{\partial z_j\partial\bar z_j} + \nu\bar z_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar z_j}\right)$$

*acting on $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ functions on $\mathcal{G}^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$. This means that the number of first order differential operators defining such holomorphic functions reduces further to the single elliptic second order differential operator $\Delta^\nu_{\Gamma,\chi}$. Such situation arise frequently in the theory of holomorphic functions.*

## 6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Using the notation of Section 3 (the Table there) and assuming the $(RDQ)$ condition to be satisfied, we see that the main theorem can be reworded in terms of the spectral properties of the differential operator

$$\Delta^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Big( \frac{-\partial^2}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_j} + \nu \bar{z}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \Big)$$

acting on the Hilbert space $B^{2,\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi} = \overline{\mathcal{G}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}}^{\langle\langle \cdot,\cdot \rangle\rangle_{\Gamma}}$ endowed with the Hermitian inner product (3.8),

$$\langle\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle\rangle_{\Gamma} = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma} g_1(z) \overline{g_2}(z) e^{-\nu|z|^2} dm(z). \tag{6.1}$$

Namely, we have the following Hilbertian orthogonal decomposition

$$B^{2,\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi} = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\infty} E_l(\Delta^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}),$$

where $E_l(\Delta^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi})$, $l = 0, 1, \cdots$ , are the eigespaces defined by

$$E_l(\Delta^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}) = \big\{ g \in \mathcal{G}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}; \quad \Delta^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi} g = \nu l g \big\}.$$

According to the proof of ii) of the main theorem, the eigenspace $E_0(\Delta^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi})$, corresponding to $l = 0$, is nothing but the space $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ studied in Section 3. Thus, the space of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 1$, satisfying (1.4), can then be realized as the null space of the differential operator $\Delta^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$ on $\mathcal{G}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}$. Also, let note here that for $\nu = \pi$, $\mathcal{O}^{\pi}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is called the space of $\Gamma$-Theta functions with respect to $(H, \chi)$; $H(z, w) = \langle z, w \rangle$, and plays an important role in the theory of abelian varieties [10].

Now, since $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n) = Ker(\Delta^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi})$ and its dimension is given by

$$\dim \mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n) = (\nu/\pi)^n \mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma),$$

we may conclude this paper by asking if there is a some how canonical way for construction of an *orthogonal basis* of $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ with respect to the Hermitian inner product (6.1). We may address the same question for the other eigenspaces $E_l(\Delta^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi})$, $l = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ , whose the dimension is given by

$$\dim E_l(\Delta^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}) = \frac{\Gamma(n+l)}{\Gamma(n) l!} (\nu/\pi)^n \mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma).$$

For the case $n = 1$ and $l = 0$, Professor Y. Hantout [1] has communicated to us the construction of a canonical orthogonal basis of $(\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}), \langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\Gamma})$ expressed in terms of some Jacobi Theta functions in one variable $z$. We hope to handle the general case $\mathcal{O}^{\nu}_{\Gamma,\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n; H)$ in a near future.

*Acknowledgements.* The authors would like to thank the organizers of the meeting AHGS06 (*Moroccan Association of Harmonic Analysis and Spectral Geometry*), where a part of this work was presented as talk. A. G. would like to address special thanks to the Center for Advanced Mathematical Sciences (CAMS) of the American University of Beirut (AUB) for the hospitality during the full academic year 2006-2007.

---

[1] University of Lille 1, France.

## References

[1] Askour N., Intissar A., Mouayn Z., Explicit formulas for reproducing kernels of generalized Bargmann spaces on $\mathbb{C}^n$. *J. Math. Phys.* 41, no. 5, 3057–3067 (2000).

[2] Avron J.E., Herbst I., Simon B., Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields. I: General interactions. *Duke Math. J.* 45, no. 4, 847–883 (1978).

[3] Bellissard J.: Gap Labelling Theorems for Schrödinger Operators. From Number Theory to Physics.Edited by M. Waldschmidt *et al.*. Les Houches 1989, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, Second Edition (1995) pp 538-630.

[4] Bump D., Pekker A., On the dimension of Theta functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 130, no 12, 3473–3481 (2002).

[5] Ghanmi A., Intissar A., Asymptotic of complex hyperbolic geometry and $L^2$-spectral analysis of Landau-like Hamiltonians. *J. Math. Phys.* 46, no. 3, 032107 (2005).

[6] Gilkey P. B., Invariance theory, the heat equation, and the atiyah-singer index theorem. Publish or Perish Press, 1985. Available on the EMIS server http://www.emis.de/monographs/gilkey/index.html.

[7] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, Principles of Algebraic Geometry. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 1978.

[8] Hejhal D. A., Kernel functions, Poincaré series, and LVA. *In the tradition of Ahlfors and Bers* Stony Brook, NY, 173–201 (1998). *Contemp. Math.* 256, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

[9] Jones G. A., Singerman D., Complex functions: An algebraic and geometric viewpoint. Cambridge University Press, 1987.

[10] Mumford D., Abelian Varieties, second ed., Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., vol. 5, Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1974.

[11] Nikiforov A. F., Uvarov V. B., Fonctions spéciales de la physique mathématique. éditions Mir, Moscou, 1978. *English edition:* Special functions of mathematical physics. A unified introduction with applications. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1988.

[12] Novikov S. P., Two-dimensional Schródinger operators in periodic fields. *Sov. Probl. Math.* 23, (1983) 3–32; Engl. transl. in *J. Sov. Math.* 28 (1) (1985).

[13] H. Koch, F. Ricci, Spectral Projections for the twisted Laplacian. Studia Math., no 2 (2007) 103-110. math-ap/0412236v1.

[14] Satake, I. La déformation des formes hermitiennes et son application aux domaines de Siegel. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 11, no. 3, 445–449 (1978).

[15] Selberg A., Automorphic functions and integral operators. In Seminars on Analytic Functions II, Institute for Advanced Study 152–161 (1957). Article 28 in Selberg, Collected Works, Springer Verlag 464–468 (1989).

[16] Shigekawa I., Eigenvalue problems of Schrödinger operator with magnetic field on a compact Riemannian manifold. *J. Funct. Anal.* 75, no. 1, 92–127 (1987).

[17] S. Thangavelu, Lectures on Hermite and Laguerre expansions. Mathematical Notes, 42. Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[18] M. W. Wong, Weyl transforms. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

*E-mail address*: `(A.G.) allalghanmi@gmail.com`
*E-mail address*: `(A.I.) intissar@fsr.ac.ma`